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## APPENDIX C: ECONOMIC RESILIENCE ASSESSMENT

As depicted in Chapter 2, the University of Oregon's Institute for Policy Research \& Engagement (IPRE) developed a series of metrics to assess the District's economic resilience, designed around eight key questions. These metrics offer a holistic assessment of each county's economic wellbeing; when taken collectively, they provide a portrait of the region's ability to respond to, and recover from, future shocks or economic setbacks. Though Chapter 2 provides a brief snapshot of the District's status, the following profiles depict these resilience metrics in greater detail at the county level, including a conceptual overview of IPRE's framework and definitions for all data points used to answer these eight questions.

## ECONOMIC RESILIENCE

## 2022 Metrics

## Introduction

As Oregon communities continue to grapple with the pandemic, devastating fire seasons, growing wealth disparities, and other threats to economic stability, the need to bolster economic resilience has become increasingly clear. In preparation for the Northeast Oregon Economic Development District's update of the region's Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS), we present a baseline economic resilience assessment that can be used to understand, track, and improve economic resilience.

Resilience is the ability to anticipate, withstand, and bounce back from any type of shock or disruption. Shocks can include nature-based events (fires, floods, droughts, etc.) and the impacts of a changing climate, but also humancaused economic disruptions such as the closure of a region's large employer, the decline of an important industry, changes in the workforce, and population shifts. Economic resilience determines how quickly a community can recover following a disruption and how prepared a community is to withstand or avoid potential economic threats. By assessing different factors that contribute to economic resilience, we can better understand how to direct resources to help build resilience in our communities.

The University of Oregon's Institute for Policy Research \& Engagement (IPRE) has developed a holistic and accessible framework for tracking economic resilience. The framework consists of eight questions that are answered using quantitative data measured against predefined scales of resilience strength. While there are a range of other in-depth methods for measuring economic resilience, this framework recognizes the limited capacity of community development staff. It does not require advanced statistical analysis and is intended to be user-friendly and easy enough to execute frequently. Using a consistent method to evaluate economic resilience in each county helps Economic Development Districts compare indicators over time and identify ways to strengthen the entire region.

## The Framework

This framework asks eight questions to evaluate economic resilience at the county levels. To answer the questions, we use a combination of Census, Oregon Employment Department, and other publicly available data sets to highlight resilience strengths and weaknesses. By answering these questions, communities can identify where vulnerabilities lie and take steps to address these impediments to resilience.

Measuring resilience is complex and depends on a variety of inputs. Each of the eight questions in this framework investigates a different facet of economic resilience. We use different characteristics of the state, county, and local communities to signal how well a region is prepared to withstand disruption.

Achieving economic resilience takes time and relies on coordination across agencies and communities. Data trends, over time, can demonstrate the impacts of policies and programs that are intended to improve resilience, helping to indicate areas that may require more attention and resources.

## 2022 Metrics

## Eight Questions: What Indicates Resilience?

| Does the economy |
| :--- | :--- |
| have diverse and well- |
| paid jobs? |

What is the projected future of major
2 employment sectors in the region and nationally?

What is the age
3 breakdown of residents?

Do people live and work in the community?

## Diversified economies are more resilient.

+ A distribution of jobs in higher-wage industries indicates greater economic resilience
- A concentration of jobs in a single industry indicates less economic resilience

Sectors that are less subject to volatility are more resilient.

+ A distribution of employment across sectors that will likely remain stable indicates greater economic resilience
- A concentration of employment in sectors that are regulated, taxed, or that rely on natural resources introduces more risk and indicates less economic resilience

A mix of young, working, and older populations is indicative of a more resilient economy.

+ Working age adults that are active in the labor force indicates greater economic resilience
- An aging population indicates less economic resilience

In communities where people live and work, the local economy is more active and independent, and therefore more resilient.

+ People living and working in the same place with access to a mix of housing indicates greater economic resilience
- Major commuter flows and limited housing options indicates less economic resilience

Growing populations indicate economic opportunities and resilience.

+ Steady and continuous growth indicates greater economic resilience
- Sharp inclines and declines in populations indicate less economic resilience


## Is the built

infrastructure able to
withstand natural hazards or weather incidents?

What level of

## Do residents have

 access to health and wellness facilities?Infrastructure that can continue functioning after a major disruption will help a region continue to function normally despite the disruption.

+ Well-built housing and limited risk of disruption from natural hazards indicates greater economic resilience
- Less sturdy housing like mobile homes and significant risk of disruption from natural hazards indicates less economic resilience

Residents with advanced or specialized degrees have higher earning potential. Regions that have choices for advanced education (trade schools, community colleges, four-year colleges, and universities) are better positioned to support the training of local residents.

+ Higher percentage of residents with advanced or specialized degrees indicates greater economic resilience
- Lower percentage of residents with advanced or specialized degrees indicates less economic resilience

Communities with health and wellness facilities are more resilient than communities that lack these facilities. People with health insurance contribute to a more resilient economy by reserving scarce public health resources for those most in need.

+ Populations that are mostly insured and mostly located near health care facilities indicates greater economic resilience
- Populations that have lower insurance rates and that are located farther from health care facilities indicates less economic resilience


## Quick Facts <br> Population: <br> 

0.4\%
of Oregon's Population

Economic
Usity
core
$14^{\text {th }}$ out of 36 Diversity Score (Hachman Index)

## Top 3 Sectors by \% of Employment

Average Wages


|  | County | State | US |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Median Household Income <br> (2021 \$) | $\$ 46,250$ | $\$ 65,677$ | $\$ 67,521$ |
| Median Age <br> \% of Residents with at least <br> High School Degree <br> \% of Renters spending 30\% or <br> more of income on housing | 48.2 | 39.5 | 38.8 |

## Gauging Resilience: Signal Strength Measures

This framework measures the "signal strength" associated with each dataset. Within every question, the datasets with the weaker signals should be noted and further investigated. Communities should aim to have full-power resilience signals for most datasets and may want to concentrate efforts where there is limited signal strength.

Area of concern for a resilient economy. Data signals limited strength.

Area for improvement to
support a resilient economy. Data signals opportunity for added resilient measures.

Area contributes to economic resilience for the community. Data signals a resilient position.

## BAKER COUNTY

Stronger Signals of Resilience

- Hazard Resilience. Baker

County's FEMA National Risk Index score is 8.35 or "Relatively Low." The county is at a low risk of experiencing damages due to natural hazards.

- Live/Work Proximity. Like many counties in Eastern Oregon, much of the population lives and works in the same county. 73\% of workers live in Baker County.
- High Paying Sectors. Three of Baker County's four top sectors by percentage of employment pay above the county's average annual wages. This is a good signal for Baker County workers and the economy as a whole.
- Economic Diversity. For its size, Baker County has a respectable Hachman Index of .461 placing it $14^{\text {th }}$ out of Oregon's 36 counties in terms of having a well-diversified economy.

Weaker Signals of Resilience

- Aging Population. Baker County has an aging population with a median age of 48.2. This is compared to the state's median age of 39.5 .
- High Age Dependency. The county's age dependency ratio is 86.2 compared to the state's 62.2. A high age dependency ratio indicates there is more pressure on the working population in an economy to take care of younger and older residents.
- Housing Quality. $18 \%$ of housing units in Baker County are mobile homes. These units are less resilient to natural disasters than other housing types.
- Lack of Living Wages. Baker County's average annual wage is $56.7 \%$ of what the MIT Living Wage Calculator suggest is needed to live comfortably in the county.


## Question 1. Does the economy have diverse and well-paid jobs?

| Data to Answer the Question |  |  |  | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment data <br> Source: OED <br> 2021 | Resilient economies are not pred <br> Average Annual Wages 2021 <br> Oregon <br> Baker County <br> Sectors with Highest Employment <br> 1. Government <br> 2. Education and health services <br> 3. Retail Trade (44-45) <br> 4. Manufacturing (31-33) | low-wage industri <br> Wages <br> \$53,152 <br> \$48,087 <br> \$30,714 <br> \$51,063 | \% of Total Emp 19.7\% <br> 17.7\% <br> 15.1\% <br> 10.6\% |  |
| Economic <br> Diversity <br> Source: OED <br> 2021 | The Hachman Index is a measure of economic diversity. <br> Baker County Hachman Index Score: . 461 <br> $14^{\text {th }}$ highest of 36 Oregon Counties |  |  |  |
| Living Wages <br> Source: OED <br> 2021, MIT <br> Living Wage <br> Calculator | On average, do people earn a living wage? <br> MIT Living Wage Calculator (2022) \| Average Wages of all Sectors (2021) | \% of MIT LWC |  |  |  |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal Strength Threshold | Moderate Signal Strength <br> Threshold | Strong Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment data | Of the 3 sectors with the highest employment, how many account for $20 \%$ or more of total area employment? | 3 | 1-2 | 0 |
| Economic Diversity | The Hachman Index is a measure of economic diversity. Using indicators such as gross domestic product (GDP) and employment, the index measures the mix of industries present in a particular region relative to a (well-diversified) reference region. | 0-0.33 | 0.34-0.66 | 0.67-1.0 |
| Living Wages | MIT produces an estimate of living wages by county. We average the "Required annual income before taxes" to measure whether or not actual wages are meeting the living wage standard using the following measure: <br> Average wage all sectors (from the Oregon Employment Department) as a percentage of the average of all "Required Annual Income Before Taxes" for the county (from MIT living wage) <br> (In other words, what the wage is compared to what it should be: are current wages less, about the same, or higher than what someone would need to live comfortably) | Less than 80\% | 80\% - 100\% | More than 100\% |

## Question 2. What is the projected future of major employment sectors in the region and nationally?



## Question 3. What is the age breakdown of residents?

| Data to Answer the Question | Results |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Population <br> characteristics <br> Source: ACS (2020) | The median age of Baker County residents is 48.2, much higher than <br> the state median age of 39.5. The age breakdown in Baker County <br> skews older, with 26.7\% of the population being 65 years or older. | Moderate |
| Workers over 55 stats <br> Source: OED | 26\% of Baker County's total population are over 55 years old and <br> currently working (compared to 23\% at the state level). | Moderate |
| Age dependency ratio <br> Source: ACS (2020) | The age dependency ratio for Baker County is 86.2, suggesting more <br> economic pressure compared to the State's ratio of 62.2. | Weak |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Moderate <br> Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Strong <br> Signal Strength Threshold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population characteristics | Median age | Over 50 | 40-50 | Under 40 |
| Workers 55 and Over (2020) | Percentage of population that are people over 55 and employed full-time | More than $30 \%$ | 15\%-30\% | Less than 15\% |
| Age dependency ratio (2020) | The dependency ratio is the number of dependents in a population (under-18 and over-65) divided by the number of working-age (18-64) people, multiplied by 100. <br> This data point describes the level of pressure on an economy from supporting the portions of the population least likely to be working. | More than $65.0$ | 50.0-65.0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less than } \\ 50.0 \end{gathered}$ |

## Question 4. Do people live and work in the community?

| Data to Answer the Question |  | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jobs-to-Homes Ratio | Baker County has a total housing stock of 9,062 and a total employment of 5,652 . This gives Baker County a jobs-to-homes ratio of 0.62 . | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { Weak }}$ |
| Renters <br> Source: ACS (2020) | In Baker County, 31.5\% of all residents are cost-burdened, slightly lower than the overall rate for the state of $33.1 \%$. Renters as a group are worse off at both the county and state levels: $39.1 \%$ of renters in the County are cost-burdened and $47.7 \%$ of renters in the state are cost-burdened. | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { Weak }}$ |
| Commuting \& Living Patterns <br> Source: ACS (2020), <br> On the Map (2019) | Transportation Modes County $\%$ <br> 1. Car, Truck, or van $84.3 \%$ <br> 2. Public Transit $0.4 \%$ <br> 3. Walk $5.3 \%$ <br> 4. Bike $0.4 \%$ <br> 5. Work at home* $8.7 \%$ |  |
| *(Work at home \% doesn't include changes from the pandemic) | Percentage of population that live and work in the county: |  |


| Data Definitions | Thresholds | Weak Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Moderate <br> Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Strong Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jobs-to-homes <br> Ratio \& Cost <br> Burdened <br> Renters | Jobs to Homes Ratio <br> Percentage of renters spending more than $30 \%$ of their income on housing (known as cost-burdened) | Less than 0.75 <br> More than 25\% | More than 1.5 20-25\% | $0.75-1.5$ <br> Less than 20\% |
| Commuting \& Living Patterns | Percentage of population that commute via walking, bicycling, or public transportation <br> If a major disruption occurs that makes it difficult to drive long distances to work, the population will have to rely on alternative means of transportation. | Less than 5\% | 5\%-10\% | More than 10\% |
|  | Percentage of population that live and work in the county | Less than 50\% | 50-75\% | More than 75\% |

## Question 5. How has the population shifted in the last decade and what is predicted for the next 30 years?

| Data to Answer the Question | Results |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Population Growth Rate <br> Source: ACS (2020) | Baker County has a population of 16,668 which accounts for 0.4\% <br> of the state's population and has increased by 534 people between <br> 2010-2020. This is an increase of 3\%, lower than the national <br> growth of 7\% and the state's growth of 11\% over the same time <br> period. | Moderate |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Moderate <br> Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Strong Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Past <br> population <br> trends | Percentage change in population between <br> 2010 and 2020 (past 10 years). | Less than 0\% <br> (Shrinking) | $0-5 \%$ | More than <br> $5 \%$ |
| Population <br> forecasts | Forecasts for population trends and net <br> migration over the next 30 years relate to <br> the economic drivers that attract and/or <br> retain a growing population. | Declining | No significant <br> change | Growing |

## Question 6. Is the built infrastructure able to withstand natural hazards or weather incidents?

| Data to Answer the Question |  |  |  | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vulnerable housing <br> Source: ACS <br> (2020) | Housing Type/Statu Mobile Homes: Homes without com Homes without com Homes without tele | umbing: <br> chens: <br> ervice: | $\begin{gathered} \text { tal housing stock } \\ \text { 18.27\% } \\ 5.1 \% \\ 4.9 \% \\ 2.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { Weak }}$ |
| Hazard risk <br> FEMA NRI <br> (2022) | FEMA Risk County | $\begin{array}{rr} \text { Score } & \text { F } \\ 8.35 & \text { Rel } \end{array}$ | vely Low |  |
| Broadband access <br> FCC (2017) | Broadband Access County | \# of Providers 8 | \% of Broadband access <20\% | $\widehat{\text { Weak }}$ |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Moderate <br> Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Strong Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vulnerable housing | Percentage of housing stock that is classified as mobile homes | More than 10\% | 5-10\% | Less than 5\% |
|  | Percentage of homes without complete plumbing | More than 2\% | 1-2\% | Less than 1\% |
|  | Percentage of homes without complete kitchens | More than 3\% | 1-3\% | Less than 1\% |
|  | Percentage of homes dependent on any single fuel supply | More than 70\% | 60-70\% | Less than 60\% |
| Hazard risk | FEMA produces a National Risk Index that measures the relative risk of a geographic unit based on expected annual loss from hazards, social vulnerability, and community resilience. <br> We use this risk score as a proxy for hazard risk. | Very High or Relatively High | Relatively <br> Moderate | Relatively Low or Very Low |
| Broadband access | The FCC produced an estimate of "Fixed Broadband Availability" by county. This is measured as the percent of people that have access to download speeds of +25 mbps and upload speeds of +3 mbps. <br> We use this as a proxy for broadband access. 2017 is the most recent year available. | Less than 40\% | 40-80\% | More than 80\% |

## Question 7. What level of educational attainment and earning are residents reaching?

| Data to Answer the Question |  | Results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Educational attainment <br> of populations <br> Source: ACS (2020) | In Baker County, 25\% of the population have earned a bachelor's <br> degree or higher, which is lower than in the state overall (34\%). |  |
| Median earning by <br> educational attainment <br> ACS (2020) | People with only a high school degree or equivalent in Baker <br> County have median earnings that are 97\% of the state median <br> for those with only a high school degree or equivalent, meaning <br> that this group earn about the same as the state median. |  |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Moderate <br> Signal Strength <br> Threshold | Strong Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percentage of population (25 years+) <br> with a bachelor's degree or higher | Less than 25\% | $25 \%-35 \%$ | More than 35\% |
| Educational <br>  <br> Earnings | Median earnings of high school <br> graduates (or equivalency) in the <br> county as a percentage of median <br> earnings of high school graduates in <br> state | Less than 75\% | $75 \%-105 \%$ | More than 105\% |

## Question 8. Do residents have access to health and wellness facilities?

| Data to Answer the Question | Results |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Insurance Coverage | Just under 8\% of Baker County residents are uninsured, a slightly <br> higher rate of uninsurance than the state $(6.6 \%)$ and a negative <br> indicator for local economic resilience. | Moderate |
| Source: ACS 2020 | Baker County is located in Region 9 of OHA's Hospital Preparedness <br> Program. Region 9 has a hospital bed per capita of 1108.8 persons <br> per bed. | Weak |
| ICU \& Non-ICU Beds |  |  |
| Per Capita |  |  |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal Strength | Moderate Signal Strength | Strong Signal Strength |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insurance Coverage | Percentage of uninsured (nonincarcerated) population | More than 11\% | 7-11\% | Less than 7\% |
| ICU \& Non-ICU <br> Beds Per Capita | How many ICU and Non-ICU beds per capita does a region have? The State is divided into 9 Hospital Preparedness Program Regions. Union County is located in Region 9. This region is comprised of Union, Baker, Wallowa, Umatilla, Morrow, and Malheur Counties. Hospital beds per capita for the State is 880 persons per bed. | More than 950 | 800-900 | Less than 800 |

## UNION COUNTY

## Population: <br> 26,196

Diversity Score (Hachman Index)

## Top 3 Sectors by \% of Employment

Average Wages


|  | County | State | US |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Median Household Income <br> (2021 \$) | $\$ 53,940$ | $\$ 65,677$ | $\$ 67,521$ |
| Median Age | 40 | 39.5 | 38.8 |
| \% of Residents with at least <br> High School Degree | $92.9 \%$ | $91.1 \%$ | $89.5 \%$ |
| \% of Renters spending 30\% or <br> more of income on housing | $37 \%$ | $47.7 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ |

## Gauging Resilience: Signal Strength Measures

This framework measures the "signal strength" associated with each dataset. Within every question, the datasets with the weaker signals should be noted and further investigated. Communities should aim to have full-power resilience signals for most datasets and may want to concentrate efforts where there is limited signal strength.

Area of concern for a resilient economy. Data signals limited strength.

Area for improvement to support a resilient economy. Data signals opportunity for added resilient measures.

Area contributes to economic resilience for the community. Data signals a resilient position.

Stronger Signals of Resilience

- Hazard Resilience. Union

County's FEMA National Risk Index score is 7.59 or "Relatively Low." The county is at a low risk of experiencing damages due to natural hazards.

- Growth Potential and Wages. All three of Union County's fastest growing sectors pay at or above the county average annual wage. Additionally, even for residents with lower educational attainment, wages are still at or above the county average.
- Jobs-to-Homes Ratio. Union County has a strong jobs-tohomes ratio at .85 . This means that there is at least one housing unit per worker in the county.
- Health Insurance Coverage. Union County has a relatively low uninsurance rate of 7\%, lower than many other rural counties.


## Weaker Signals of Resilience

- High Age Dependency. The county's age dependency ratio is 74.5 compared to the state's 62.2. A high age dependency ratio indicates there is more pressure on the working population in an economy to take care of younger and older residents.
- Housing Quality and Cost. 16\% of housing units in Baker County are mobile home which are less resilient to natural disasters than other housing types. About one third or more of owners and renters are spending more than $30 \%$ of their income on housing (a circumstance known as "cost-burdened").
- Educational Attainment and Earnings. Only 24\% of residents have a bachelor's degree or higher and these residents earn less than their statewide counterparts.
- Lack of a Living Wages. Union County residents make 59\% of what the MIT Living Wage Calculator determines would be a living wage in the county.


## Question 1. Does the economy have diverse and well-paid jobs?

| Data to Answer the Question |  |  |  | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment data <br> Source: OED <br> 2021 | Resilient economies are not predom <br> Average Annual Wages 2021 <br> Oregon <br> Baker County <br> Sectors with Highest Employment <br> 1. Government <br> 2. Health Care and Social Assistance <br> 3. Retail Trade (44-45) <br> 4. Manufacturing (31-33) | low-wage industr <br> Wages <br> \$54,244 <br> \$53,376 <br> \$32,567 <br> \$54,285 | \% of Total Emp 20.5\% 16.6\% 14.3\% 12.6\% |  |
| Economic <br> Diversity <br> Source: OED <br> 2021 | The Hachman Index is a measure of economic diversity. <br> Baker County Hachman Index Score: . 490 <br> $13^{\text {th }}$ highest of 36 Oregon Counties |  |  |  |
| Living Wages <br> Source: OED <br> 2021, MIT <br> Living Wage <br> Calculator | On average, do people earn a living wage? <br> MIT Living Wage Calculator (2022) \| Average Wages of all Sectors (2021) | \% of MIT LWC |  |  |  |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal Strength <br> Threshold | Moderate Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Strong Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment data | Of the 3 sectors with the highest employment, how many account for $20 \%$ or more of total area employment? | 3 | 1-2 | 0 |
| Economic Diversity | The Hachman Index is a measure of economic diversity. Using indicators such as gross domestic product (GDP) and employment, the index measures the mix of industries present in a particular region relative to a (well-diversified) reference region. | 0-0.33 | 0.34-0.66 | 0.67-1.0 |
| Living Wages | MIT produces an estimate of living wages by county. We average the "Required annual income before taxes" to measure whether or not actual wages are meeting the living wage standard using the following measure: <br> Average wage all sectors (from the Oregon Employment Department) as a percentage of the average of all "Required Annual Income Before Taxes" for the county (from MIT living wage) <br> (In other words, what the wage is compared to what it should be: are current wages less, about the same, or higher than what someone would need to live comfortably) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } \\ & 80 \% \end{aligned}$ | 80\% - 100\% | More than 100\% |

## Question 2. What is the projected future of major employment sectors in the region and nationally?

| Data to Answe | the Question | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment <br> Data <br> Source: OED <br> 2021 | All three of Union County's fastest growing sectors have an average wage higher than the county average wage. This indicates strong growth for Union County's economy. Specifically, management of companies and enterprises has an average wage of $\$ 100,872$ which is $222.1 \%$ of the county average wage. None of these sectors grew by more than $40 \%$ indicating steady growth for Union County's economy instead of rapid or declining. |  |
| Employment Growth Projections <br> Source: OED <br> 2022 | Projected Growing Sectors \% Growth <br> 1. Leisure and Hospitality $26 \%$ <br> 2. Construction $19 \%$ <br> 3. Professional and Business services $13 \%$ |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline & & \text { Weak } \\ \text { Data Definitions \& Thresholds } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Moderate } \\ \text { Signal } \\ \text { Strength } \\ \text { Threshold }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Strong } \\ \text { Signal } \\ \text { Strength } \\ \text { Threshold }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Signal } \\ \text { Strength } \\ \text { Threshold }\end{array}\right]$

## Question 3. What is the age breakdown of residents?

| Data to Answer the Question | Results |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Population <br> characteristics <br> Source: ACS (2020) | The median age of Union County residents is 40, very similar to the <br> state median age of 39.5. The age breakdown in Union County is <br> spread out with 33.4\% of its population under 25 and $33.7 \%$ of its <br> population over 55. | Moderate |
| Workers over 55 stats <br> Source: OED | 23\% of Union County's total population are over 55 years old and <br> currently working (compared to 23\% at the state level). | Moderate |
| Age dependency ratio <br> Source: ACS (2020) | The age dependency ratio for Union County is 74.5, suggesting more <br> economic pressure compared to the State's ratio of 62.2. | Weak |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Moderate <br> Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Strong <br> Signal Strength Threshold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population characteristics | Median age | Over 50 | 40-50 | Under 40 |
| Workers 55 and Over (2020) | Percentage of population that are people over 55 and employed full-time | More than $30 \%$ | 15\%-30\% | Less than 15\% |
| Age dependency ratio (2020) | The dependency ratio is the number of dependents in a population (under-18 and over-65) divided by the number of working-age (18-64) people, multiplied by 100. <br> This data point describes the level of pressure on an economy from supporting the portions of the population least likely to be working. | More than $65.0$ | 50.0-65.0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less than } \\ 50.0 \end{gathered}$ |

## Question 4. Do people live and work in the community?

| Data to Answer the Question |  | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jobs-to-Homes Ratio \& Cost Burdened | Union County has a total housing stock of 11,863 and a total employment of 10,097. This gives Union County a jobs-to-homes ratio of 0.85 . | Strong |
| Renters <br> Source: ACS (2020) | In Union County, 31\% of all residents are cost-burdened, slightly lower than the overall rate for the state of $33.1 \%$. Renters as a group are worse off at both the county and state levels: $37.8 \%$ of renters in the County are cost-burdened which is well below the state's cost burdened rate of $47.7 \%$ of all renters. | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { Weak }}$ |
| Commuting \& Living <br> Patterns <br> Source: ACS (2020), <br> On the Map (2019) | Transportation Modes County $\%$ <br> 1. Car, Truck, or van $86.2 \%$ <br> 2. Public Transit $0.3 \%$ <br> 3. Walk $6.6 \%$ <br> 4. Bike $0.7 \%$ <br> 5. Work at home* $5.6 \%$ |  |
| *(Work at home \% doesn't include changes from the pandemic.) | Percentage of population that live and work in the county: |  |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Moderate <br> Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Strong Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jobs-to-homes <br> Ratio \& Cost <br> Burdened <br> Renters | Jobs to Homes Ratio | Less than 0.75 | More than 1.5 | 0.75-1.5 |
|  | Percentage of renters spending more than $30 \%$ of their income on housing (known as cost-burdened) | More than 25\% | 20-25\% | Less than 20\% |
| Commuting \& Living Patterns | Percentage of population that commute via walking, bicycling, or public transportation <br> If a major disruption occurs that makes it difficult to drive long distances to work, the population will have to rely on alternative means of transportation. | Less than 5\% | 5\%-10\% | More than 10\% |
|  | Percentage of population that live and work in the county | Less than 50\% | 50-75\% | More than 75\% |

## Question 5. How has the population shifted in the last decade and what is predicted for the next 30 years?

| Data to Answer the Question | Results |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Population Growth Rate | Union County has a population of 26,196 which accounts for 0.6\% <br> of the state's population and has increased by 448 people between <br> Source: ACS (2020) <br> growth of 7\% and the state's of 1.7\%, lower than the national of 11\% over the same time <br> period. | Moderate |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Moderate <br> Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Strong Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Past <br> population trends | Percentage change in population between 2010 and 2020 (past 10 years). | Less than 0\% (Shrinking) | 0-5\% | More than 5\% |
| Population forecasts | Forecasts for population trends and net migration over the next 30 years relate to the economic drivers that attract and/or retain a growing population. | Declining | No significant change | Growing |

Question 6. Is the built infrastructure able to withstand natural hazards or weather incidents?

| Data to Answer the Question |  |  |  | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vulnerable housing <br> Source: ACS <br> (2020) | Housing Type/Status Mobile Homes: Homes without co Homes without co Homes without te | umbing: <br> chens: <br> rvice: | $\begin{gathered} \text { tal housing stock } \\ 15.8 \% \\ 2.1 \% \\ 4.0 \% \\ 2.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { Weak }}$ |
| Hazard risk <br> FEMA NRI <br> (2022) | FEMA Risk County | Score $7.59$ |  |  |
| Broadband access <br> FCC (2017) | Broadband Access County | \# of Providers 8 | \% of Broadband access 60-80\% |  |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal Strength Threshold | Moderate Signal Strength Threshold | Strong Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vulnerable housing | Percentage of housing stock that is classified as mobile homes | More than 10\% | 5-10\% | Less than 5\% |
|  | Percentage of homes without complete plumbing | More than 2\% | 1-2\% | Less than $1 \%$ |
|  | Percentage of homes without complete kitchens | More than 3\% | 1-3\% | Less than 1\% |
|  | Percentage of homes dependent on any single fuel supply | More than 70\% | 60-70\% | Less than 60\% |
| Hazard risk | FEMA produces a National Risk Index that measures the relative risk of a geographic unit based on expected annual loss from hazards, social vulnerability, and community resilience. <br> We use this risk score as a proxy for hazard risk. | Very High or Relatively High | Relatively Moderate | Relatively Low or Very Low |
| Broadband access | The FCC produced an estimate of "Fixed Broadband Availability" by county. This is measured as the percent of people that have access to download speeds of +25 mbps and upload speeds of +3 mbps . <br> We use this as a proxy for broadband access. 2017 is the most recent year available. | Less than 40\% | 40-80\% | More than 80\% |

## Question 7. What level of educational attainment and earning are residents reaching?

| Data to Answer the Question | Results |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Educational attainment <br> of populations <br> Source: ACS (2020) | In Union County, $24.2 \%$ of the population has earned a bachelor's <br> degree or higher, which is lower than in the state overall (34\%). <br> $32.9 \%$ has graduated high school (including equivalency) and <br> $35.8 \%$ of the population having some college. | Weak |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Moderate <br> Signal Strength <br> Threshold | Strong Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percentage of population (25 years+) <br> with a bachelor's degree or higher | Less than 25\% | $25 \%-35 \%$ | More than 35\% |
| Educational <br>  <br> Earnings | Median earnings of high school <br> graduates (or equivalency) in the <br> county as a percentage of median <br> earnings of high school graduates in <br> state | Less than 75\% | $75 \%-105 \%$ | More than 105\% |

Question 8. Do residents have access to health and wellness facilities?

| Data to Answer the Question | Results |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Insurance Coverage | Just under 7\% of Union County residents are uninsured, a barely <br> higher rate of uninsurance than the state $(6.6 \%)$. |  |
| Source: ACS 2020 | Union County is located in Region 9 of OHA's Hospital Preparedness |  |
| ICU \& Non-ICU Beds <br> Per Capita | Program. Region 9 has a hospital bed per capita of 1108.8 persons <br> per bed. | Weak |
| Source: OHA 2022 |  |  |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds | Weak Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Moderate Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Strong Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Insurance <br> Coverage | Percentage of uninsured (non- <br> incarcerated) population | More than $11 \%$ | $7-11 \%$ | Less than 7\% |
|  | How many ICU and Non-ICU beds <br> per capita does a region have? The <br> State is divided into 9 Hospital |  | $800-900$ | Less than 800 |
| Preparedness Program Regions. |  |  |  |  |
| ICU \& Non-ICU |  |  |  |  |
| Beds Per Capita | Union County is located in Region <br> 9. This region is comprised of <br> Union, Baker, Wallowa, Umatilla, <br> Morrow, and Malheur Counties. | More than 950 |  |  |
|  | Hospital beds per capita for the <br> State is 880 persons per bed. |  |  |  |

## WALLOWA COUNTY

## Quick Facts <br> Population:

Diversity Score (Hachman Index)

## Top 3 Sectors by \% of Employment

Average Wages


|  | County | State | US |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Median Household Income <br> (2021 \$) | $\$ 53,423$ | $\$ 65,677$ | $\$ 67,521$ |
| Median Age <br> \% of Residents with at least <br> High School Degree <br> \% of Renters spending 30\% or <br> more of income on housing | 52.4 | 39.5 | 38.8 |

## Gauging Resilience: Signal Strength Measures

This framework measures the "signal strength" associated with each dataset. Within every question, the datasets with the weaker signals should be noted and further investigated. Communities should aim to have full-power resilience signals for most datasets and may want to concentrate efforts where there is limited signal strength.

Area of concern for a resilient economy. Data signals limited strength.

Area for improvement to
support a resilient economy. Data signals opportunity for added resilient measures.

Area contributes to economic resilience for the community. Data signals a resilient position.

Stronger Signals of Resilience

- Hazard Resilience. Wallowa

County's FEMA National Risk Index score is 11.18 or "Relatively Low." The county is at a low risk of experiencing damages due to natural hazards.

- Health Insurance Coverage. Wallowa County has a relatively low uninsurance rate of $5 \%$, lower than many other rural counties.
- Lower Cost-Burdened Rate. While Wallowa County still has a weak signal for cost-burdened residents (those playing $30 \%$ or more of their income on housing), it has the lowest rate in the District. $26.4 \%$ of all households and $25 \%$ of renters in Wallowa County are costburdened compared to $33.1 \%$ of all households and $47.7 \%$ of renters in Oregon.


## Weaker Signals of Resilience

- Aging \& Declining Population. Wallowa County has an aging population with a median age of 52.4, much older than the state's 39.5 . The population is projected to decline in the short- and long-term as younger populations move away and older populations to age out.
- Housing Quality. Almost 17\% of housing units in Wallowa County are mobile homes. These units are less resilient to natural disasters than other housing types.
- Educational Attainment and Earnings. Only 27\% of residents have a bachelor's degree or higher and workers in almost all educational attainment groups earn less than their statewide counterparts.
- Lack of a Living Wages. Wallowa County residents make $56 \%$ of what the MIT Living Wage Calculator determines would be a living wage in the county.
- Low Economic Diversity.

Wallowa County has a low Hachman Index score of . 187 placing it $28^{\text {th }}$ out of Oregon's 36 counties in terms of having a well-diversified economy.

## Question 1. Does the economy have diverse and well-paid jobs?

| Data to Answer the Question |  |  |  | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment data <br> Source: OED <br> 2021 | Resilient economies are not predomina <br> Average Annual Wages 2021 <br> Oregon <br> Wallowa County <br> Sectors with Highest Employment <br> 1. Government <br> 2. Health Care and Social Assistance <br> 3. Retail Trade (44-45) <br> 4. Accommodations and food services | low-wage industries <br> Wages <br> \$55,197 <br> \$37,543 <br> \$30,679 <br> \$22,333 | \% of Total Emp 24.4\% <br> 14.4\% <br> 9.6\% <br> 9.0\% |  |
| Economic Diversity <br> Source: OED <br> 2021 | The Hachman Index is a measure of economic diversity. Wallowa County Hachman Index Score: . 187 $28^{\text {th }}$ highest of 36 Oregon Counties |  |  |  |
| Living Wages <br> Source: OED <br> 2021, MIT <br> Living Wage <br> Calculator | On average, do people earn a living wage? <br> MIT Living Wage Calculator (2022) \| Average Wages of all Sectors (2021) | \% of MIT LWC |  |  |  |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal Strength Threshold | Moderate Signal Strength <br> Threshold | Strong Signal Strength Threshold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment data | Of the 3 sectors with the highest employment, how many account for $20 \%$ or more of total area employment? | 3 | 1-2 | 0 |
| Economic Diversity | The Hachman Index is a measure of economic diversity. Using indicators such as gross domestic product (GDP) and employment, the index measures the mix of industries present in a particular region relative to a (well-diversified) reference region. | 0-0.33 | 0.34-0.66 | 0.67-1.0 |
| Living Wages | MIT produces an estimate of living wages by county. We average the "Required annual income before taxes" to measure whether or not actual wages are meeting the living wage standard using the following measure: <br> Average wage all sectors (from the Oregon Employment Department) as a percentage of the average of all "Required Annual Income Before Taxes" for the county (from MIT living wage) <br> (In other words, what the wage is compared to what it should be: are current wages less, about the same, or higher than what someone would need to live comfortably) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } \\ & 80 \% \end{aligned}$ | 80\% - 100\% | More than 100\% |

## Question 2. What is the projected future of major employment sectors in the region and nationally?

| Data to Answer the Question |  | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment <br> Data <br> Source: OED $2021$ | From 2011-2021, two of the fastest growing sectors had averages wages well below the county's average wage. Only one, wholesale trade, had an average wage above the county average. All three sectors grew by less than 100\% indicating only moderate growth for the economy. |  |
| Employment Growth Projections <br> Source: OED 2022 | Projected Growing Sectors \% Growth <br> 1. Leisure and Hospitality $26 \%$ <br> 2. Construction $19 \%$ <br> 3. Professional and Business services $13 \%$ |  |


|  |  | Weak |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Data Definitions \& Thresholds | Moderate <br> Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Strong <br> Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |  |
| Employment Data | Of the 3 fastest growing sectors, how many <br> offer wages above, or equivalent to, average <br> wages in the county? | 0 | $1-2$ | 3 |
|  | Of the 3 sectors that have the highest projected <br> employment growth, how many are projected <br> to grow more than 16\%? |  | $1-2$ | 3 |
| Employment <br> Growth <br> Projections | The total projected employment growth for the <br> state between 2020 and 2030 is 16\%. We use <br> this as the threshold to determine whether <br> sectors in the county are expected to be above <br> or below this average across all sectors. | 0 |  |  |
|  | Projections are only done at a regional level. |  |  |  |

## Question 3. What is the age breakdown of residents?

| Data to Answer the Question | Results |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Population <br> characteristics <br> Source: ACS (2020) | The median age of Wallowa County residents is 52.4, much older <br> than the state median age of 39.5. The age breakdown in Wallowa <br> County is. | Weak |
| Workers over 55 stats <br> Source: OED | $33 \%$ of Wallowa County's total population are over 55 years old and <br> currently working (compared to 23\% at the state level). | Weak |
| Age dependency ratio <br> Source: ACS (2020) | The age dependency ratio for Wallowa County is 88.3, suggesting <br> more economic pressure compared to the State's ratio of 62.2. | Weak |


| Data Definitions | \& Thresholds | Weak Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Moderate <br> Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Strong <br> Signal Strength Threshold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population characteristics | Median age | Over 50 | 40-50 | Under 40 |
| Workers 55 and Over | Percentage of population that are people over 55 and employed full-time | More than 30\% | 15\%-30\% | Less than 15\% |
| Age dependency ratio | The dependency ratio is the number of dependents in a population (under-18 and over-65) divided by the number of working-age (18-64) people, multiplied by 100. <br> This data point describes the level of pressure on an economy from supporting the portions of the population least likely to be working. | More than $65.0$ | 50.0-65.0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less than } \\ 50.0 \end{gathered}$ |

## Question 4. Do people live and work in the community?

| Data to Answer the Question |  | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jobs-to-Homes Ratio \& Cost Burdened | Wallowa County has a total housing stock of 4,234 and a total employment of 2,688 . This gives Wallowa County a jobs-to-homes ratio of 0.63 . | Weak |
| Renters <br> Source: ACS (2020) | In Wallowa County, $26.4 \%$ of all residents are cost-burdened, quite a bit lower than the overall rate for the state of $33.1 \%$. Renters in Wallowa County are slightly better off with only $25.1 \%$ of them cost burdened. | Weak |
| Commuting \& Living Patterns <br> Source: ACS (2020), <br> On the Map (2019) | Transportation Modes County $\%$ <br> 1. Car, Truck, or van $75.0 \%$ <br> 2. Public Transit $0.0 \%$ <br> 3. Walk $9.1 \%$ <br> 4. Bike $0.3 \%$ <br> 5. Work at home* $12.3 \%$ |  |
| *(Work at home \% doesn't include changes from the pandemic.) | Percentage of population that live and work in the county: | Moderate |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Moderate <br> Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Strong Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jobs-to-homes <br> Ratio \& Cost <br> Burdened <br> Renters | Jobs to Homes Ratio | Less than 0.75 | More than 1.5 | 0.75-1.5 |
|  | Percentage of renters spending more than $30 \%$ of their income on housing (known as cost-burdened) | More than 25\% | 20-25\% | Less than 20\% |
| Commuting \& Living Patterns | Percentage of population that commute via walking, bicycling, or public transportation <br> If a major disruption occurs that makes it difficult to drive long distances to work, the population will have to rely on alternative means of transportation. | Less than 5\% | 5\%-10\% | More than 10\% |
|  | Percentage of population that live and work in the county | Less than 50\% | 50-75\% | More than 75\% |

## Question 5. How has the population shifted in the last decade and what is predicted for the next 30 years?

| Data to Answer the Question | Results |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Population Growth Rate <br> Source: ACS (2020) | Wallowa County has a population 7,065 of which accounts for 0.6\% <br> of the state's population and has increased by 448 people between <br> 2010-2020. This is an increase of 1.7\%, lower than the national <br> growth of 7\% and the state's growth of 11\% over the same time <br> period. | Moderate |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Moderate <br> Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Strong Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Past <br> population trends | Percentage change in population between 2010 and 2020 (past 10 years). | Less than 0\% (Shrinking) | 0-5\% | More than 5\% |
| Population forecasts | Forecasts for population trends and net migration over the next 30 years relate to the economic drivers that attract and/or retain a growing population. | Declining | No significant change | Growing |

Question 6. Is the built infrastructure able to withstand natural hazards or weather incidents?

| Data to Answer the Question |  |  | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vulnerable housing <br> Source: ACS <br> (2020) | Housing Type/Status <br> Mobile Homes: <br> Homes without complete plumbing: <br> Homes without complete kitchens: <br> Homes without telephone service: | $\begin{gathered} \text { ttal housing stock } \\ 16.8 \% \\ 3.6 \% \\ 2.7 \% \\ 1.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { weak }}$ |
| Hazard risk <br> FEMA NRI <br> (2022) | FEMA Risk Score <br> Wallowa County 11.18 | Rating Relatively Low |  |
| Broadband access <br> FCC (2017) | Broadband Access \# of Providers <br> County 6 | \% of Broadband access <20\% | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\text { weak }}$ |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal Strength Threshold | Moderate Signal Strength Threshold | Strong Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vulnerable housing | Percentage of housing stock that is classified as mobile homes | More than 10\% | 5-10\% | Less than 5\% |
|  | Percentage of homes without complete plumbing | More than 2\% | 1-2\% | Less than $1 \%$ |
|  | Percentage of homes without complete kitchens | More than 3\% | 1-3\% | Less than 1\% |
|  | Percentage of homes dependent on any single fuel supply | More than 70\% | 60-70\% | Less than 60\% |
| Hazard risk | FEMA produces a National Risk Index that measures the relative risk of a geographic unit based on expected annual loss from hazards, social vulnerability, and community resilience. <br> We use this risk score as a proxy for hazard risk. | Very High or Relatively High | Relatively Moderate | Relatively Low or Very Low |
| Broadband access | The FCC produced an estimate of "Fixed Broadband Availability" by county. This is measured as the percent of people that have access to download speeds of +25 mbps and upload speeds of +3 mbps . <br> We use this as a proxy for broadband access. 2017 is the most recent year available. | Less than 40\% | 40-80\% | More than 80\% |

## Question 7. What level of educational attainment and earning are residents reaching?

| Data to Answer the Question | Results |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Educational attainment <br> of populations <br> Source: ACS (2020) | In Wallowa County, 26.9\% of the population has earned a <br> bachelor's degree or higher, which is lower than in the state <br> overall (34\%). 30.2\% has graduated high school (including <br> equivalency) and 37.2\% of the population having some college. | Weak |
| Median earning by |  |  |
| educational attainment | People with only a high school degree or equivalent in Wallowa <br> ACS (2020) | lounty have median earnings that are 81\% of the state median <br> for those with only a high school degree or equivalent, meaning <br> that this group earns much less than the state median. |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds |  | Weak Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Moderate <br> Signal Strength <br> Threshold | Strong Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percentage of population (25 years+) <br> with a bachelor's degree or higher | Less than 25\% | $25 \%-35 \%$ | More than 35\% |
| Educational <br>  <br> Earnings | Median earnings of high school <br> graduates (or equivalency) in the <br> county as a percentage of median <br> earnings of high school graduates in <br> state | Less than 75\% | $75 \%-105 \%$ | More than 105\% |

Question 8. Do residents have access to health and wellness facilities?

| Data to Answer the Question | Results |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Insurance Coverage | Only 4.8\% of Wallowa County residents are uninsured, a <br> significantly lower rate of uninsurance than the state (6.6\%). | Strong |
| Source: ACS 2020 | Wallowa County is located in Region 9 of OHA's Hospital <br> Preparedness Program. Region 9 has a hospital bed per capita of <br> ICU \& Non-ICU Beds <br> Per Capita | Weak |
| Source: OHA 2022 |  |  |


| Data Definitions \& Thresholds | Weak Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Moderate Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold | Strong Signal <br> Strength <br> Threshold |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Insurance <br> Coverage | Percentage of uninsured (non- <br> incarcerated) population | More than 11\% | $7-11 \%$ | Less than 7\% |
|  | How many ICU and Non-ICU beds <br> per capita does a region have? The <br> State is divided into 9 Hospital <br> Preparedness Program Regions. <br> Wallowa County is located in <br> Region 9. This region is comprised <br> of Union, Baker, Wallowa, <br> Umatilla, Morrow, and Malheur <br> Counties. Hospital beds per capita <br> for the State is 880 persons per <br> bed. | More than 950 | $800-900$ | Less than 800 |
| ICU \& Non-ICU <br> Beds Per Capita |  |  |  |  |

